



Research

Cite this article: Bastian B *et al.* 2019 Explaining illness with evil: pathogen prevalence fosters moral vitalism. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **286**: 20191576.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1576>

Received: 4 July 2019
 Accepted: 2 October 2019

Subject Category:
 Behaviour

Subject Areas:
 behaviour, evolution

Keywords:
 pathogens, morality, spiritual belief, vitalism, disease

Author for correspondence:
 Brock Bastian
 e-mail: brock.bastian@unimelb.edu.au

†These authors shared first-authorship.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4695755>.

Explaining illness with evil: pathogen prevalence fosters moral vitalism

Brock Bastian^{1,†}, Christin-Melanie Vaclair^{2,†}, Steve Loughnan³, Paul Bain⁴, Ashwini Ashokkumar⁵, Maja Becker⁶, Michał Bilewicz⁷, Emma Collier-Baker^{8,9}, Carla Crespo¹⁰, Paul W. Eastwick¹¹, Ronald Fischer¹², Malte Frieze¹³, Ángel Gómez¹⁴, Valeschka M. Guerra¹⁵, José Luis Castellanos Guevara¹⁶, Katja Hanke¹⁷, Nic Hooper¹⁸, Li-Li Huang¹⁹, Shi Junqi²⁰, Minoru Karasawa²¹, Peter Kuppens²², Siri Leknes²³, Mijde Peker²⁴, Cesar Pelay²⁵, Afroditi Pina²⁶, Marianna Sachkova²⁷, Tamar Saguy²⁸, Mia Silfver-Kuhlampi²⁹, Florencia Sortheix³⁰, Jennifer Tong³¹, Victoria Wai-lan Yeung³², Jacob Duffy¹ and William B. Swann Jr⁵

- ¹Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- ²Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
- ³School of Philosophy, Psychology and Language Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Bath, Somerset, UK
- ⁵Department of Psychology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA
- ⁶CLLE, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UT2J, Toulouse, Midi-Pyrénées, France
- ⁷Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland
- ⁸School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
- ⁹Forest, Nature and Environment Aceh, Banda Aceh City, Aceh, Indonesia
- ¹⁰Faculty of Psychology, University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal
- ¹¹Department of Psychology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
- ¹²School of Psychology, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand
- ¹³Department of Psychology, Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Saarland, Germany
- ¹⁴Department of Social and Organizational Psychology, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁵Department of Psychology, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, Brazil
- ¹⁶Cancún, Quintana Roo México, ConSol Consultancy, Mexico
- ¹⁷University of Applied Management Studies, Mannheim, Baden-Württemberg, Germany
- ¹⁸Health and Social Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK
- ¹⁹School of Economics and Management, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China
- ²⁰Lingnan College, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, People's Republic of China
- ²¹Department of Psychology, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan
- ²²Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Leuven, Leuven, Flanders, Belgium
- ²³Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ²⁴Department of Psychology, MEF University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ²⁵Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, Distrito Capital, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
- ²⁶School of Psychology, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, UK
- ²⁷Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, Moskva, Russian Federation
- ²⁸Interdisciplinary Center (IDC) Herzliya, Herzliya, Tel Aviv, Israel
- ²⁹Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ³⁰Swedish School of Social Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ³¹School of Social Sciences, Singapore Management University, Singapore, Singapore
- ³²Department of Applied Psychology, Lingnan University, New Territories, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

BB, 0000-0003-4619-3322; RF, 0000-0002-3055-3955

Pathogens represent a significant threat to human health leading to the emergence of strategies designed to help manage their negative impact. We examined how spiritual beliefs developed to explain and predict the devastating effects of pathogens and spread of infectious disease. Analysis of existing data in studies 1 and 2 suggests that moral vitalism (beliefs about spiritual forces of evil) is higher in geographical regions characterized by historical higher levels of pathogens. Furthermore, drawing on a sample

of 3140 participants from 28 countries in study 3, we found that historical higher levels of pathogens were associated with stronger endorsement of moral vitalistic beliefs. Furthermore, endorsement of moral vitalistic beliefs statistically mediated the previously reported relationship between pathogen prevalence and conservative ideologies, suggesting these beliefs reinforce behavioural strategies which function to prevent infection. We conclude that moral vitalism may be adaptive: by emphasizing concerns over contagion, it provided an explanatory model that enabled human groups to reduce rates of contagious disease.

1. Introduction

Throughout human history, pathogens have posed a persistent threat to the survival and growth of humans. To mitigate this threat, humans may have developed a suite of psychological responses known as a ‘behavioural immune system’ that protects against the spread of infectious disease (e.g. [1–8]). While prior work has assumed detection mechanisms capable of identifying pathogen threats and activating the behavioural immune system (e.g. [8]), human threat detection is enhanced in the presence of a theory (lay or scientific) on which to base prediction and response. Here, we propose that ‘moral vitalism’ beliefs—beliefs in contagious and agentic spiritual forces of evil—provided a lay theoretic model of the origin and spread of disease among pre-germ-theory societies. Furthermore, we suggest that moral vitalism is associated with key elements of the behavioural immune system, reinforcing avoidance of pathogen cues in the immediate environment and bolstering adherence to traditional norms and ethnocentrism. Moral vitalism may have emerged as humans tried to explain the spread of disease and persisted because it conferred an adaptive advantage to groups who were threatened by pathogens.

2. Moral vitalism and explanations for infectious disease

People often posit the existence of supernatural ‘forces’ or ‘spirits’ to explain events that do not have a clear biological or psychological explanation—a tendency that is especially acute for harmful events [9–11]. We suggest that disease outbreaks represented such events among pre-germ-theory societies. In these groups, people needed a theory for predicting, and from which they could attempt to control, the spread of disease. A belief in contagious and contaminating evil forces—which we label ‘moral vitalism’—would have provided a functionally equivalent framework for prediction and management, identifying both the infection and transmission profile of pathogens.

Buttressing this possibility is the observation that cultures vary widely in their lay explanations for disease and suffering [12], including a tendency to explain and respond to disease by drawing on a belief in moralistic supernatural forces; a tendency that has been observed across Africa, Asia, Europe and North America ([13,14]; a case in point is the escalation of witch-hunts in response to the Black Death). Furthermore, spiritual responses to physical illness and disease remain popular in modern societies (e.g. faith healing, spiritual healing), where health complaints are sometimes attributed to the will

of God or the work of the Devil [15,16], thus illustrating their attractiveness as intuitive explanations.

The concept of moral vitalism [17] draws on previous work documenting theories of vital forces, energies, power, ‘soul-stuff’ or spirits in many traditional belief systems [18–21], in early scientific and psychological theorizing [22,23], in children’s understanding of biology [11,24], in adult thinking about natural and psychological events [9,25] and in reasoning about interpersonal contagion or transmission [26–29]. A belief in moral vitalism has been associated with concerns that people are vulnerable to possession (infection) by evil forces, and that these forces are interpersonally contagious (transmission; [17]).

By providing a framework for predicting the spread of infectious disease, moral vitalism would also have facilitated (or at least cognitively justified) behavioural strategies designed to limit infection. We argue that moral vitalistic beliefs may have contributed to these antipathogen psychological tendencies in two ways. First, moral vitalism would have reinforced evolved tendencies to avoid pathogen cues in the immediate environment [6,8,30]. This is consistent with evidence showing that endorsement of moral vitalism is associated with heightened disgust sensitivity and avoidance of indirect contact with suspicious strangers ([17]; see also [27,28]). Second, moral vitalism would have reinforced the emergence of conservative ideologies within high pathogen environments [31–33]. Conservatism has been linked to adherence to culturally evolved norms and rituals which neutralize local pathogen threats (e.g. food preparation norms; [34]) and ethnocentrism which encourages behavioural avoidance of strangers, limiting exposure to novel pathogens for which one’s immune system resistance is low (e.g. [5,31–33]; although see [35–37]). This is consistent with prior work showing that endorsement of moral vitalism is associated with conservative attitudes, fundamentalist thinking and religiosity [17].

3. The current studies

The above reasoning suggests three key predictions. Our first and main prediction is that moral vitalistic beliefs should be especially evident in contexts characterized by higher historical pathogen prevalence. That is, given their use in limiting the spread of infection, such beliefs should flourish and become entrenched under conditions of high pathogen prevalence. We tested this prediction using both archival data (studies 1 and 2) and our own multi-national survey (study 3). Across all three studies, we examined the link between belief in evil forces (study 1—evil eye beliefs, witchcraft; study 2—belief in the devil; study 3—belief in evil forces) and geographical variation in historical pathogen prevalence. Our second prediction is that because moral vitalism provides a functional framework for managing the spread of disease, it should be associated with antipathogen psychological tendencies linked to the behavioural immune system. We tested this prediction in study 3 by examining the relationship between moral vitalism and conservative attitudes and group-binding moralities. Our third prediction is that if moral vitalism represents the more proximal influence of pathogen threat on human cognition and culture, then it should help to explain the previously reported relationships between pathogen prevalence and antipathogen psychological tendencies linked to the behavioural immune system. We explored this prediction in study 3 by asking if moral vitalism statistically mediated the link between

pathogen prevalence and conservative attitudes as well as group-binding moralities.

4. Study 1: evil eye belief

We began with the standard cross-cultural sample (SCCS). This includes an index of the existence of the evil eye belief within various cultural contexts. The evil eye refers to another person who casts a curse, leading to misfortune or injury, through a malevolent glare. The SCCS also includes an index of the extent to which people in a particular culture ascribe any impairment of health to the existence of witchcraft. The most common strategy witches are believed to rely on when causing impairment is the evil eye, highlighting conceptual convergence between these two concepts of illness causation [13]. We focused on these two beliefs as they both entail the idea that evil forces can be contagious and contaminating, analogous to the transfer of pathogens, and in a way that is structurally similar to a belief in moral vitalism (see [17]; see also [38,39] for alternative accounts). For instance, a belief in the evil eye suggests the possibility of interpersonal transmission of evil and the practice of witchcraft explicitly refers to the channelling of evil spirits, both of which have the capacity to cause harm. In line with our main prediction, we examined whether a belief in the evil eye and witchcraft was more apparent in contexts characterized by higher levels of historical pathogen prevalence.

(a) Material and methods

(i) Archival data

The SCCS is a representative sample of the world's known and well-described cultures, each pinpointed to the smallest identifiable subgroup of the specific society at the time it was constructed [40]. The SCCS includes observational data for 186 distinct cultures spanning a wide range of diverse societies worldwide (covering preindustrial societies to technologically advanced agricultural societies) and documented at a time of maximum cultural independence with the explicit aim of overcoming the problem of co-influence between cultures (commonly referred to as Galton's problem).

Evil eye belief. This was coded for in the SCCS by Roberts [41] on a scale from 1 (incontrovertibly absent) to 8 (incontrovertibly present).

Witchcraft. This explanation attributed illness to the suspected voluntary or involuntary aggressive action of a member of a special class of human beings believed to be endowed with a special power and propensity for evil (1, absence of such a cause; 2, minor or relatively unimportant cause; 3, an important auxiliary cause; 4, predominant cause recognized by the society; [13]).

Historical pathogen prevalence. An index of historical pathogen prevalence for the 186 SCCS cultures has been developed by Cashdan [42]. A combined index uses the mean of z-scores for the historical prevalence of 10 pathogens (malaria, dengue, filariae, typhus, trypanosomes, leishmanias, schistosomes and plague, leprosy and spirochetes) derived from historical sources, chiefly global maps published in the mid-twentieth century.

Control variables. We included a number of control variables coded in the SCCS which allowed us to rule out the possibility that our observed relationship was an artefact of religious belief, the extent of internal or external conflict, frequency of

adverse events such as famine, resource uncertainty or wealth inequality. We also controlled for explanations for health impairment, other than witchcraft, as coded by Murdock [13]. See the electronic supplementary material for a full discussion of control variables.

(b) Results

Zero-order correlations indicate that the evil eye belief is significantly and positively correlated with historical pathogen prevalence, $r_{186} = 0.24$, $p = 0.001$, as is a reliance on witchcraft as an explanation for illness, $r_{131} = 0.57$, $p < 0.001$ (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1 for all correlations including control variables). Given extensive missing data across all control variables, to maintain power, we analysed each separately to maintain a reasonable sample size. Multiple regression analyses revealed the relationship between historical pathogen prevalence and evil eye beliefs (all $ps < 0.030$) and witchcraft remained significant (all $ps < 0.038$) in all cases (see the electronic supplementary material, tables S2 and S3 for full reporting).

5. Study 2: belief in the devil

The findings from the SCCS dataset revealed that in contexts where historical pathogen prevalence was high, so too was the tendency for these cultures to endorse a belief in the existence of contagious and contaminating evil forces which can cause illness. Next, we examined data from the World Values Survey (WVS) in which respondents were asked whether they believed in the devil (0, no; 1, yes; a binary outcome variable which be understood as a proportion). We used Wave 3 survey data because more cross-national data were available on this question compared to all other waves. A belief in the Devil entails the existence of a specific evil force in the world and is therefore relevant to moral vitalism. We, therefore, predicted this belief would be higher in countries which have historically higher levels of pathogens.

(a) Material and methods

(i) Archival data

We used survey data from Wave 3 (conducted from 1995 to 1998) of the WVS in which 60 454 respondents ($M_{\text{age}} = 40.89$, s.d. = 15.91, 51.6% female) from 50 countries were asked whether they believed in the devil (0, no; 1, yes). Four countries had missing data on the country-level predictors, therefore, leaving a maximum sample size of 58 076 at level 1 and 46 at level 2 for the multilevel analyses.

(ii) Socio-demographic covariates

The following socio-demographic variables were included as individual-level covariates: *age*, *gender* (recoded: 0, male; 1, female), *level of education* (recoded: 1, lower; 2, middle; 3, upper), *social class* (recoded: 1, lower class; 5, upper class), *religiosity* (recoded: 1, religion not at all important in life; 4, religion very important in life), *political orientation* (1, left; 10, right) and *subjective health* (1, very poor; 5, very good).

(iii) Country-level variables

Historical pathogen prevalence. Historical pathogen prevalence estimates were obtained from Murray & Schaller [4] who compiled an index incorporating nine distinct diseases (leishmanias, schistosomes, trypanosomes, leprosy, malaria, typhus, filariae, dengue and tuberculosis) derived from

epidemiological atlases mapping the prevalence of each disease in each region.

(iv) Country-level control variables

Human development index. We argue that belief in evil forces may be relied on when more scientific explanations are not available, suggesting that the level of development may be important. Therefore, we obtained country scores on the human development index (HDI; <http://hdr.undp.org/en/countries>) which is composed of national income, education and life expectancy (expressed as a value between 0 and 1). We averaged the country scores from 1990 to 2000 so that the HDI data correspond to the time when the WVS data were gathered.^{1,2}

Corruption. We reasoned that people might rely on a belief in evil forces to explain unfair and unethical behaviour. If so, such beliefs should be especially common in contexts wherein corruption is high. To this end, we drew on the Corruption Perception Index [44] ranging from 0 (highly corrupt) to 100 (very clean).

Democracy. We argue that believing in evil forces provides a sense of prediction and control, something that might also be relevant in non-democratic contexts wherein citizens feel they have little control. To test this, we used an index of democracy, drawing on data from The Economist Intelligence Unit's Democracy Index [45]. This is a single score based on five categories: electoral process and pluralism; civil liberties; the functioning of government; political participation; and political culture.

Peace. Believing in evil forces might also be relied on to explain contexts characterized by intergroup conflict. As such, we drew on a measure of a country's peacefulness, using data from the Global Peace Index (GPI) Report from 2013 [46]. The index assesses the level of safety and security in society, the extent of domestic and international conflict and the degree of militarization. The index was recoded so that higher scores reflect more peacefulness.

(v) Analytic strategy

We used multilevel modelling (MLM) which allowed us to examine the effect of pathogen prevalence on belief in the devil while controlling for relevant covariates at both the individual and country-level. Given that the criterion variable is binary, we analysed the data with multilevel logistic regression specifying a Bernoulli distribution and restricted penalized quasi-likelihood estimation in HLM 7 [47]. We used grand-mean centring for all individual- and country-level predictors which is most appropriate when the focus of interest is on examining the predictive power of a level 2 variable while controlling for level 1 covariates [48].

(b) Results

Correlating pathogen prevalence with the proportion of individuals believing in the devil in each country shows that there is a significant association between the two variables, $r_{46} = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$ (see also the electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Table 1 shows the results of the multilevel logistic regression analyses predicting belief in the devil. Analysing a random-intercept model with no explanatory variables (model 0) yielded an intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.37 meaning that 37% of the total variance in the criterion variable is owing to differences between countries. In model 1, we

entered all individual-level variables as fixed effects and found that age, gender, religiosity, conservative political orientation, education, social class and subjective health were significant predictors of belief in the devil.

We then tested the country-level predictors and found that pathogen prevalence (model 2) was a significant predictor of belief in the devil when controlling for socio-demographics. In model 3, we accounted for the possibility that individual-level associations vary across countries by including random slopes into the model and found that it did not affect the predictive power of pathogen prevalence, $B = 0.553$, odds ratio = 1.738, $p = 0.011$ (table 1). We proceeded controlling for each country-level covariate at once because of the relatively small country-level sample size (when all country-level predictors were entered simultaneously, none were significant predictors; see the electronic supplementary material, table S4, model 6). The effect of historical pathogen prevalence on belief in the devil remained significant when controlling for the Corruption Index ($p = 0.022$) and the Democracy Index ($p = 0.012$) but became marginal when controlling for the Peace Index ($p = 0.086$) and the HDI ($p = 0.094$).³ None of the country-level controls were themselves significant predictors: Corruption Index ($p = 0.578$), Democracy Index ($p = 0.597$), Peace Index ($p = 0.707$) and HDI ($p = 0.778$). The full results are reported in the electronic supplementary material, table S4.

6. Study 3: moral vitalism

As a direct test of our theory, we conducted a large multinational survey incorporating a measure of moral vitalism (see [17]), a construct that specifically assesses belief in the existence of spiritual forces of good and evil (e.g. 'There are underlying forces of good and evil in this world'). As noted above, a belief in moral vitalism has been associated with concerns that people are vulnerable to possession by evil forces and that these forces are interpersonally contagious [17]. A belief in moral vitalism is therefore sensitive to the avenues through which pathogens are known to cause harm to humans—through infection and interpersonal transmission—and offers a functionally equivalent theory of pathogens effects on human health to that provided by modern-day germ theories.

In this study, we also sought to provide additional evidence for our claim that moral vitalistic beliefs function to manage the spread of infection. As noted, prior work has revealed that conservative attitudes and group-binding moralities emerged within high pathogen environments. In line with our second prediction, we examined associations between moral vitalistic beliefs and these antipathogen psychological tendencies, basing our prediction on previous work showing a relationship between moral vitalism and conservative attitudes, fundamentalist thinking and religiosity [17].

Our reasoning also suggests that moral vitalism may represent a more proximal influence of pathogen threat on human cognition and culture and therefore should help to explain the previously reported relationships between pathogen prevalence and these psychological tendencies linked to the behavioural immune system. In line with this prediction, we explored whether moral vitalism statistically mediated any relationship between historical pathogen prevalence and conservative values and a group-binding morality.

Table 1. Logistic multilevel regression predicting belief in the devil (study 2). (All predictors are grand-mean centred and unit-specific results with non-robust standard errors are reported. Regression coefficients are log-odds. The reported odds ratios indicate the changes in odds as a result of a one-unit change in the predictor variable, holding all other predictor variables constant. Design weights were used as provided by the World Value Survey. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$ (two-tailed), $k = 46$ countries.)

	model 0 ($n = 58\ 076$)	model 1 ($n = 42\ 482$)	model 2 ($n = 42\ 482$)	model 3 ($n = 42\ 482$)
	coefficient	odds ratio	coefficient	odds ratio
fixed effects				
intercept	0.092	1.096	0.035	1.035
individual-level predictors				
age	-0.008***	0.992	-0.008***	0.992
gender (0 = male, 1 = female)	0.206***	1.230	0.207***	1.230
religiosity	0.786***	2.194	0.785***	2.192
conservative political orientation	0.026***	1.026	0.026***	1.026
education	-0.081***	0.923	-0.080***	0.923
social class	-0.063***	0.938	-0.064***	0.938
subjective health	-0.050***	0.951	-0.050***	0.951
country-level predictors				
historical pathogen prevalence			0.630**	1.878
			0.553*	1.738
random effects				
intercepts	1.960***		0.624***	
age			0.630***	
gender			9.00×10^{-5} ***	
religiosity			0.017**	
conservative political orientation			0.126***	
education			0.003***	
social class			0.045***	
subjective health			0.017***	
			0.011***	

(a) Material and methods

(i) Participants and procedure

A total of 3202 university students residing in 28 countries (North and South America, Europe, Asia and Australasia) participated in this study for course credit. Participants were only included in the analyses if they were nationals from the respective countries or if they had lived in the country for more than 10 years, leaving an effective sample size of 3131. The average age of the total sample was 22.61 years (s.d. = 6.27) and 64.4% of all participants were female. An overview of sample characteristics for each country is presented in the electronic supplementary material, table S6. Respondents who took part in the study either received course credits or reimbursement. All samples were collected in line with relevant ethical protocols and informed consent procedures for each country.

(ii) Measures

Participants responded to a larger questionnaire and only the measures relevant for the present study are described here. The questionnaire was developed in English and established translations of scales were used whenever possible. All other measures were translated into the respective language of the country by bilinguals and the accuracy of the translation was verified through back-translations or a committee approach.

(iii) Individual-level variables

Moral vitalism. Bastian *et al.*'s [17] measure of moral vitalism served as our dependent variable. It features five items assessing the belief in real, agentic forces of good and evil (e.g. 'There are underlying forces of good and evil in this world', 'Good and evil are aspects of the natural world') on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). Cronbach's α s based on standardized items were satisfactory across countries ($M = 0.75$, range: 0.63–0.85). Tests of approximate measurement invariance across countries (see [50]) supported only a *weaker* form of measurement invariance (partial *metric* invariance). However, dropping one of the items yielded acceptable fit indices for a partial *scalar* model (*strong* form of measurement invariance; see [50]). Re-running the main model with the four-item measure yielded virtually the same results as for the five-item measure (except for the non-significant main effect of gender and the non-significant random slope of religiosity with the four-item measure, see the electronic supplementary material, table S7).

Antipathogen psychological tendencies. We adopted two measures designed to tap antipathogen psychological tendencies, each of which has been linked to historical pathogen prevalence in past work (e.g. [5,33]). Participants completed 14 moral relevance items developed by Graham *et al.* [51] assessing the three moral binding foundations: ingroup/loyalty, authority/respect and purity/sanctity (1, never relevant, to 6, always relevant). Cronbach's α s based on standardized items were satisfactory across countries ($M = 0.84$, range: 0.76–0.91). We used the Short Schwartz's Value Survey to assess individuals' endorsement of conservative values (e.g. honouring elders). We employed Lindeman & Verkasalo's [52] equation to obtain individuals' scores on the main value dimension conservation versus openness-to-change.

(iv) Control variables

Given that moral vitalism is associated with religion and political conservatism (see [17]) and that both of these variables have been linked to historical pathogen prevalence (e.g. [1]), we sought to control for whether people indicated following a religion and their political orientation.

Religion. Participants were asked whether they followed a religion (0, no and 1, yes).

Political orientation. Participants completed a measure of political orientation towards social issues ('Please indicate your political beliefs from left/liberal to right/conservative on social issues; e.g. immigration, homosexual marriage, abortion') (1, left/liberal; 7, right/conservative) and economic issues ('Please indicate your political beliefs from left/liberal to right/conservative on economic issues; e.g. social welfare, government spending, tax cuts') (1 = left/liberal; 7, right/conservative). Political orientation items were significantly correlated in all countries, except in China ($r = 0.06$, $p = 0.475$) and so were kept separate in the analyses.

(v) Country-level variables

Historical pathogen prevalence. We drew on the same existing data for historical pathogen prevalence estimates from Murray & Schaller [4] as in study 2 above.

Control variables. The same variables as in study 2 were used to control for the socio-political and economic context of a country: the HDI [53], the Corruption Perception Index [44], the Democracy Index [45] and the GPI [46].

(vi) Analytic strategy

We employed MLM analysis as in study 2 to test the link between pathogen prevalence and moral vitalism. This time, however, we used linear multilevel regression (with restricted maximum-likelihood estimation) in HLM 7 [47], because the dependent variable was continuous. We grand-mean centred all individual- and country-level predictors for the same reasons as the ones mentioned in study 2.

To test the mediation hypotheses, we employed a 2-1-1 multilevel mediation model within the structural equation paradigm (MSEM) in MPLUS 7 [54]. This means that the independent variable (X_j) is assessed at level 2, both the mediator (M_{ij}) and the dependent variables are measured at level 1 (Y_{ij}). In other words, we expected that historical disease prevalence as a level 2 antecedent influences the level 1 mediator (moral vitalism) which then affects the level 1 outcome variables (conservative values or moral binding foundations). See the electronic supplementary material for a longer discussion of the statistical approach employed.

(b) Results

Descriptive country-level statistics of all variables and sample characteristics are shown in the electronic supplementary material, table S6. Pathogen prevalence correlated with moral vitalism at $r_{27} = 0.50$, $p = 0.007$, therefore, sharing 24.70% of its variance. Figure 1 illustrates the link between pathogen prevalence and moral vitalism across all 28 countries.

Table 2 shows the results of the multilevel regression analyses explaining beliefs in moral vitalism. Analysing a random-intercept model with no explanatory variables yielded an ICC of 0.24 (model 0). In model 1, we entered all individual-level variables as fixed effects and found that gender, religion




Figure 1. Scatterplot showing the correlation between historical pathogen prevalence and belief in moral vitalism (study 3).

and conservative political orientation were significant predictors of moral vitalism. We then tested pathogen prevalence (model 2) as a country-level predictor and confirmed that it was a significant predictor of moral vitalism when controlling for socio-demographics. This model explained 34.87% of the between-country variance and 7.03% of the within-country variance. We accounted again for the possibility that individual-level associations vary across countries by including random slopes into the model, which did not affect the predictive power of pathogen prevalence, $B = 0.379$, $s.e. = 0.111$, $p = 0.002$ (table 2, model 3). Similar to study 2, we proceeded by controlling for each country-level covariate at once, although in this case when all country-level predictors were entered simultaneously pathogen prevalence remained significant, and the strongest predictor (see the electronic supplementary material, table S5, model 5). Pathogen prevalence remained a significant predictor of moral vitalism controlling for the Corruption Index ($p = 0.003$) and the Peace Index ($p = 0.003$), but became a marginal predictor when controlling for the Democracy Index ($p = 0.057$) and the HDI ($p = 0.062$). None of the country-level controls were themselves significant predictors of moral vitalism: Corruption Index ($p = 0.387$), Democracy Index ($p = 0.164$), Peace Index ($p = 0.728$) and HDI ($p = 0.369$). The full results are reported in the electronic supplementary material, table S5.

Next, we proceeded with two separate mediation analyses to assess whether moral vitalism mediates the link between pathogen prevalence and (i) conservative values and (ii) the moral binding foundations. We conducted the analyses in three steps ([55]; see the electronic supplementary material, figure S2). Step 1 revealed that respondents were more conservative in their values if they resided in countries with higher pathogen prevalence than in countries with less prevalence ($B = 0.197$, $p < 0.05$). However, pathogen prevalence did not significantly predict the moral binding foundation in our sample ($B = 0.114$, $p > 0.05$). Because mediation analyses do not require a significant association between the independent and dependent variables [56], we proceeded with the mediation analyses for the moral binding foundation as well. Step 2 confirmed again that higher pathogen prevalence significantly predicted beliefs in moral vitalism ($B = 0.449$, $p < 0.01$). Step 3 showed that a belief in moral vitalism was associated with greater conservatism ($B = 0.579$, $p < 0.001$), and a greater endorsement of the moral binding

foundation ($B = 0.421$, $p < 0.01$). Including moral vitalism as a mediator in each model diminished the link between pathogen prevalence and conservatism ($B = 0.074$, $p < 0.05$) as well as the moral binding foundation ($B = -0.075$, $p < 0.01$). The test of the indirect effect corroborated that the association between pathogen prevalence and the two criterion variables decreased significantly after taking into account moral vitalism (indirect effect_{conservatism} = 0.259, $s.e. = 0.101$, $p = 0.010$; indirect effect_{binding foundation} = 0.189, $s.e. = 0.088$, $p = 0.031$).

In short, the findings reveal a relatively robust association between pathogen prevalence and moral vitalism. Moreover, moral vitalism statistically mediated previously established links between pathogen prevalence and psychological tendencies associated with pathogen avoidance. The latter finding provides additional support for our argument that moral vitalistic beliefs help diminish the spread of infection.

7. Discussion

Our analysis of archival and contemporary data offers converging support for the notion that pathogen prevalence may reinforce moral vitalistic beliefs. Two archival studies revealed that in contexts defined by higher historical pathogen prevalence, people were more likely to believe in the devil, the malevolent power of the evil eye and in witches who channel evil. This archival evidence was bolstered by a new multinational study in which participants completed a recently developed measure of belief in moral vitalism. Across all three studies, we uncovered consistent evidence that historical pathogen prevalence is related to an increased tendency to believe that there are forces of evil at work in the world.

We argue that moral vitalistic beliefs are likely to be functional. By providing an explanatory framework that functionally mapped the infection and transmission profile of pathogens, a belief in contagious and agentic spiritual forces of evil allowed for more effective prediction and response to the threat of disease. As reported by Bastian *et al.* [17], moral vitalism is associated with concerns over contagion and contamination and this explanatory framework, therefore, discourages contact with those who may be possessed by the forces of evil. Furthermore, the association between moral vitalism and both political conservatism and ingroup preference suggests that it may have reinforced antipathogen behavioural tendencies reported elsewhere in the literature. In this way, moral vitalistic beliefs may represent a psychological mechanism that conferred an adaptive advantage within environments characterized by a high pathogen load.

We argue that our lay explanatory account contributes to the literature in several ways. First, it articulates a psychological theory which may have encouraged people to enact behavioural strategies that functioned to manage the infection threat of pathogens. A theory of evil forces effectively modelled the interpersonal transmission of pathogens, and therefore motivated other antipathogen psychological tendencies. Second, it provides a parsimonious account in which a specific belief system was selected to allow for the emergence of a suite of psychological tendencies (such as conservative ideologies) which limited pathogen transmission. Third, it generates a range of novel hypotheses. Prior work has assumed detection mechanisms capable of identifying pathogen threats (e.g. [8]), yet effective threat detection in humans is improved in the presence of a theory (lay or scientific) on which to base prediction

Table 2. Multilevel regression predicting belief in moral vitalism (study 3). (All predictors are grand-mean centred and results with non-robust standard errors are reported. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$ (two-tailed).)

	model 0		model 1		model 2		model 3	
	<i>B</i>	s.e.	<i>B</i>	s.e.	<i>B</i>	s.e.	<i>B</i>	s.e.
fixed effects								
intercept	3.831***	0.113	3.815***	0.106	3.888***	0.095	3.883***	0.091
individual-level predictors								
age			-0.006	0.004	-0.006	0.004	-0.005	0.004
gender (0 = male, 1 = female)			0.097*	0.042	0.097*	0.042	0.095	0.050
religion (0 = no, 1 = yes)			0.524***	0.042	0.524***	0.041	0.497***	0.016
conservative economic political orientation			0.002	0.014	0.002	0.014	-2.00×10^{-4}	0.016
conservative social political orientation			0.064***	0.013	0.064***	0.013	0.067***	0.017
country-level predictors								
historical pathogen prevalence					0.445**	0.142	0.379**	0.111
random effects								
residuals	1.053		0.970		0.979		0.949	
intercepts	0.347***		0.304***		0.226***		0.211***	
age							3.000×10^{-5}	
gender							0.019	
religiosity							0.037*	
conservative economic political orientation							0.002	
conservative social political orientation							0.003	
variance explained (%)								
individual-level	—		7.882		7.028		9.877	
country-level	—		12.392		34.870		39.193	

and response. Our work suggests that moral vitalism provided a pre-germ-theory explanation that assisted in guiding response to pathogen threat.

Although a reliance on moral vitalism as an explanation for illness would have been especially apparent when scientific explanations were unavailable, such thinking remains evident in many modern societies, wherein health complaints are sometimes attributed to the will of God or the work of the devil [15,16] and spiritual remedies persist (e.g. faith healing, spiritual healing, Reiki). Just as religion has remained attractive in view of scientific advances in evolutionary theory, we suggest a reliance on evil to explain illness has remained attractive owing to its capacity to moralize illness (i.e. explain *why* people become ill) compared to biological models that primarily explain *how* (i.e. via transmission and infection). Furthermore, once a belief is embedded, it tends to diffuse across generations in a culture—a process referred to as cultural transmission (e.g. [57])—thus providing an additional explanation for the persistence of moral vitalistic beliefs.

A strength of the current studies is that they draw on ecological measures of naturalistic contexts, yet this also limits the capacity to draw causal inferences or to rule out third variables and alternative explanations. Nonetheless, we see a good reason to have confidence in our analyses. First, the nature of our key variables strongly suggests a causal picture; it is unlikely that belief in evil forces increased pathogen load. Second, reverse mediation models provide less statistical evidence for antipathogen psychological tendencies as predicting moral vitalism (see the electronic supplementary material for full discussion and analysis). Third, we controlled for a wide range of potential third variables in our analyses. Fourth, other potential explanations suggest only a palliative function: disease outbreaks could heighten death anxiety, reduce psychological control or represent an attributional challenge making morality-based afterlife beliefs and a belief in all powerful and moralizing Gods more attractive (e.g. [58,59]). Yet, these explanations do not model the potential spread of pathogens in the same way that a belief in moral vitalism does. It is the more specific belief in contagious and contaminating evil forces that provides a functionally equivalent framework for predicting and therefore controlling the spread of disease (see

the electronic supplemental material for additional points of discussion).

In conclusion, our findings represent a novel perspective on the manner in which pathogens may shape human cognition. While previous attempts have focused on how this ecological variable shapes broad beliefs and intergroup behaviour in ways that limit the spread of pathogens, we provide an analysis of how a pre-germ-theory lay explanation for the disease would have improved predictability and control of disease outbreaks. In doing so, we also provide insight into how explanations for illness may have shaped or reinforced specific beliefs which have broader social implications.

Ethics. Ethical approval for study 3 was obtained by the first author from the Behavioural and Social Sciences Ethical Review Committee, project no. 2009001486. Informed consent was obtained in line with the requirements of ethical approval. All other samples in study 3 were collected in line with relevant ethical protocols and informed consent procedures for each country.

Data accessibility. Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.jq2bvq85d> [60].

Authors' contributions. B.B., W.B.S., C.-M.V. and P.B. conceptualized the study. B.B. and C.M.V. analysed the data. B.B., W.B.S. and C.M.V. wrote the paper. All other authors collected data for study 3.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme (B.B., P.B. and W.B.S.: grant no. DP110102632).

Endnotes

¹We used an HDI for Taiwan that had been calculated by its government in 2011 [43].

²The earliest HDI data available for Nigeria were from 2003 and for Macedonia from 2000.

³This result is based on robust standard errors. When considering non-robust standard errors, pathogen prevalence becomes a non-significant predictor ($p=0.128$). We used non-robust standard errors for all analyses because a sample size of at least 100 at level 2 is needed for robust standard errors to be accurate. At the same time, robust standard errors would be more adequate to consider for the non-normally distributed data [49]. Hence, the results concerning the HDI as a covariate are somewhat inconclusive which may also be because of multicollinearity with pathogen prevalence ($r_{45} = -0.682$, $p < 0.001$).

References

- Fincher CL, Thornhill R. 2008 Assortative sociality, limited dispersal, infectious disease and the genesis of the global pattern of religion diversity. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **275**, 2578–2594. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0688)
- Gelfand MJ *et al.* 2011 Differences between tight and loose cultures: a 33-nation study. *Science* **332**, 1100–1104. (doi:10.1126/science.1197754)
- Mortensen CR, Becker DV, Ackerman JM, Neuberg SL, Kenrick DT. 2010 Infection breeds reticence: the effects of disease salience on self-perceptions of personality and behavioral avoidance tendencies. *Psychol. Sci.* **21**, 440–447. (doi:10.1177/0956797610361706)
- Murray DR, Schaller M. 2010 Historical prevalence of infectious diseases within 230 geopolitical regions: a tool for investigating origins of culture. *J. Cross-Cult. Psychol.* **41**, 99–108. (doi:10.1177/0022022109349510)
- Murray DR, Schaller M. 2012 Threat(s) and conformity deconstructed: perceived threat of infectious disease and its implications for conformist attitudes and behavior. *Eur. J. Soc. Psychol.* **42**, 180–188. (doi:10.1002/ejsp.863)
- Oaten M, Stevenson RJ, Case TI. 2009 Disgust as a disease-avoidance mechanism. *Psychol. Bull.* **135**, 303–321. (doi:10.1037/a0014823)
- Schaller M, Murray DR. 2008 Pathogens, personality, and culture: pathogen prevalence predicts worldwide variability in sociosexuality, extraversion, and openness to experience. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **95**, 212. (doi:10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.212)
- Schaller M, Park JH. 2011 The behavioural immune system (and why it matters). *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* **20**, 99–103. (doi:10.1177/0963721411402596)
- Cashmore AR. 2010 The Lucretian swerve: the biological basis of human behavior and the criminal justice system. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 4499–4504. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0915161107)
- Gray K, Wegner DM. 2010 Blaming God for our pain: human suffering and the divine mind. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* **14**, 7–16. (doi:10.1177/1088868309350299)
- Inagaki K, Hatano G. 2004 Vitalistic causality in young children's naive biology. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **8**, 356–362. (doi:10.1016/j.tics.2004.06.004)
- Shweder RA, Much NC, Mahapatra M, Park L. 1997 The 'big three' of morality (autonomy, community, divinity) and the 'big three' explanations of suffering. In *Morality and health* (eds AM Brandt, P Rozin), pp. 119–169. New York, NY: Routledge.

13. Murdock GP. 1980 *Theories of illness: a world survey*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press.
14. Park L. 1992 *Cross-cultural explanations of illness: Murdock revisited*. Chicago, IL: Committee on Human Development, University of Chicago.
15. Hamdy SF. 2009 Islam, fatalism, and medical intervention: lessons from Egypt on the cultivation of forbearance (sabr) and reliance on God (tawakkul). *Anthropol. Q.* **82**, 173–196. (doi:10.1353/anq.0.0053)
16. Legare CH, Gelman SA. 2008 Bewitchment, biology, or both: the co-existence of natural and supernatural explanatory frameworks across development. *Cogn. Sci.* **32**, 607–642. (doi:10.1080/03640210802066766)
17. Bastian B, Bain P, Buhrmester MD, Gómez Á, Vázquez A, Knight CG, Swann WB. 2015 Moral vitalism: seeing good and evil as real, agentic forces. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.* **41**, 1069–1081. (doi:10.1177/0146167215589819)
18. Atran S *et al.* 2002 Folkecology, cultural epidemiology, and the spirit of the commons: a garden experiment in the Maya lowlands, 1991–2001. *Curr. Anthropol.* **43**, 421–450. (doi:10.1086/339528)
19. Frazer JG. 1959 *The golden bough: a study in magic and religion* (ed. TH Gaster). New York, NY: Macmillan. (Original work published 1890.)
20. Mauss M. 1972 *A general theory of magic* (trans. R. Brain). New York, NY: Norton. (Original work published 1902.)
21. Tylor EB. 1974 *Primitive culture: researches into the development of mythology, philosophy, religion, art, and custom*. New York, NY: Gordon Press. (Original work published 1871.)
22. Bechtel W, Richardson RC. 1998 Vitalism. In *Routledge encyclopedia of philosophy* (ed. E Craig), pp. 639–643. London, UK: Routledge.
23. Jung CG. 1983 Excerpts from on the psychology of the unconscious: two essays. In *The essential jung* (ed. A Storr), pp. 68–71. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. (Original work published 1917.)
24. Morris SC, Taplin JE, Gelman SA. 2000 Vitalism in naive biological thinking. *Dev. Psychol.* **36**, 582–595. (doi:10.1037/0012-1649.36.5.582)
25. Lindeman M, Saher M. 2007 Vitalism, purpose and superstition. *Br. J. Psychol.* **98**, 33–44. (doi:10.1348/000712606X101808)
26. Douglas M. 1966 *Purity and danger: an analysis of concepts of pollution and taboo*. London, UK: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
27. Nemeroff CJ. 1995 Magical thinking about illness virulence: conceptions of germs from ‘safe’ versus ‘dangerous’ others. *Health Psychol.* **14**, 147–151. (doi:10.1037/0278-6133.14.2.147)
28. Nemeroff CJ, Rozin P. 1994 The contagion concept in adult thinking in the United States: transmission of germs and of interpersonal influence. *Ethos* **22**, 158–186. (doi:10.1525/eth.1994.22.2.02a00020)
29. Rozin P, Nemeroff CJ. 1990 The laws of sympathetic magic: a psychological analysis of similarity and contagion. In *Cultural psychology: essays on comparative human development* (eds JW Stigler, RA Shweder, G Herdt), pp. 205–232. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
30. Tybur JM, Lieberman D, Griskevicius V. 2009 Microbes, mating, and morality: individual differences in three functional domains of disgust. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **97**, 103–122. (doi:10.1037/a0015474)
31. Fincher CL, Thornhill R, Murray DR, Schaller M. 2008 Pathogen prevalence predicts human cross-cultural variability in individualism/collectivism. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **275**, 1279–1285. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0094)
32. Navarrete CD, Fessler DM. 2006 Disease avoidance and ethnocentrism: the effects of disease vulnerability and disgust sensitivity on intergroup attitudes. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* **27**, 270–282. (doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2005.12.001)
33. van Leeuwen F, Park JH, Koenig BL, Graham J. 2012 Regional variation in pathogen prevalence predicts endorsement of group-focused moral concerns. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* **33**, 429–437. (doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2011.12.005)
34. Tybur JM *et al.* 2016 Parasite stress and pathogen avoidance relate to distinct dimensions of political ideology across 30 nations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 12 408–12 413. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1607398113)
35. Bromham L, Hua X, Cardillo M, Schneemann H, Greenhill SJ. 2018 Parasites and politics: why cross-cultural studies must control for relatedness, proximity and covariation. *R. Soc. open sci.* **5**, 181100. (doi:10.1098/rsos.181100)
36. Hadley C, Hruschka D. 2017 Stability and change in in-group mate preferences among young people in Ethiopia are predicted by food security and gender attitudes, but not by expected pathogen exposures. *Hum. Nat.* **28**, 395–406. (doi:10.1007/s12110-017-9301-3)
37. Petersen MB. 2017 Healthy out-group members are represented psychologically as infected in-group members. *Psychol. Sci.* **28**, 1857–1863. (doi:10.1177/0956797617728270)
38. Gershman B. 2015 The economic origins of the evil eye belief. *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.* **110**, 119–144. (doi:10.1016/j.jebo.2014.12.002)
39. Quinlan RJ, Quinlan MB. 2007 Parenting and cultures of risk: a comparative analysis of infidelity, aggression, and witchcraft. *Am. Anthropol.* **109**, 164–179. (doi:10.1525/aa.2007.109.1.164)
40. Murdock GP, White DR. 1969 Standard cross-cultural sample. *Ethnology* **8**, 329–369. (doi:10.2307/3772907)
41. Roberts JM. 1976 Belief in the evil eye in world perspective. In *The evil eye* (ed. C Maloney), pp. 223–278. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
42. Cashdan E. 2014 Biogeography of human infectious diseases: a global historical analysis. *PLoS ONE* **9**, 1–11. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106752)
43. R. O. C. Taiwan. 2011 National statistics. See <http://www.stat.gov.tw/>
44. Transparency International. 2015 Corruption perceptions index 2015. See <http://www.transparency.org/cpi2015#results-table>.
45. The Economist Intelligence Unit. 2015 Democracy index 2015. See <http://www.yabildi.com/img/content/EIU-Democracy-Index-2015.pdf>.
46. Institute for Economics and Peace. 2013 Global peace index 2013. See http://www.visionofhumanity.org/pdf/gpi/2013_Global_Peace_Index_Report.pdf.
47. Bryk AS, Raudenbush SW. 2004 *HLM for windows*. Skokie, IL: Scientific Software International.
48. Enders CK, Tofighi D. 2007 Centering predictor variables in cross-sectional multilevel models: a new look at an old issue. *Psychol. Methods* **12**, 121–138. (doi:10.1037/1082-989X.12.2.121)
49. Hox J. 2010 *Multilevel analysis techniques and applications*, 2nd edn. New York, NY: Routledge.
50. Rudnev M, Vauclair C-M, Bastian B *et al.* In preparation. Approximate measurement invariance of the moral vitalism scale across 28 nations.
51. Graham J, Haidt J, Nosek BA. 2009 Liberals and conservatives rely on different sets of moral foundations. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **96**, 1029–1046. (doi:10.1037/a0015141)
52. Lindeman M, Verkasalo M. 2005 Measuring values with the short Schwartz’s value survey. *J. Pers. Assess.* **85**, 170–178. (doi:10.1207/s15327752jpa8502_09)
53. United Nations Development Programme. 2011 *Human development report 2011: sustainability and equity: a better future for all*. New York, NY: Palgrave MacMillan.
54. Muthén LK, Muthén BO. 1998–2012 *Mplus user’s guide*, 7th edn. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
55. Zhang Z, Zyphur MJ, Preacher KJ. 2008 Testing multilevel mediation using hierarchical linear models problems and solutions. *Organ. Res. Methods* **12**, 695–719. (doi:10.1177/1094428108327450)
56. Rucker DD, Preacher KJ, Tormala ZL, Petty RE. 2011 Mediation analysis in social psychology: current practices and new recommendations. *Soc. Pers. Psychol. Compass* **5**, 359–371. (doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00355.x)
57. Cavalli-Sforza LL, Feldman MW. 1981 *Cultural transmission and evolution: a quantitative approach*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
58. Jong J, Halberstadt J, Bluemke M. 2012 Foxhole atheism, revisited: the effects of mortality salience on explicit and implicit religious belief. *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* **48**, 983–989. (doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2012.03.005)
59. Kay AC, Whitson JA, Gaucher D, Galinsky AD. 2009 Compensatory control achieving order through the mind, our institutions, and the heavens. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* **18**, 264–268. (doi:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01649.x)
60. Bastian B *et al.* 2019 Data from: Explaining illness with evil: pathogen prevalence fosters moral vitalism. Dryad Digital Repository. (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.jq2bvq85d>)